

Multivariate Distribution Obtained by Hierarchical Mixing

Jann-Huei Jinn

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Grand Valley State University
Allendale , MI 49401
Jinnj@gvsu.edu

Key Words: Confluent Hypergeometric Functions

ABSTRACT

The object of this research is to investigate what are the probability distributions obtained by continuous averaging of parameters. Suppose we have the following univariate compoundings:

1. $x|\lambda$ has a Poisson distribution with parameter λ .
2. $\lambda|y$ has a gamma distribution with parameters h and y .
3. $y^{-1}|\mu$ has a gamma distribution with parameter μ^{-1} and k .

In this paper, I find the probability density functions of $\lambda|\mu$, $x|y$ and the probability mass function of $x|\mu$

1. Introduction

Suppose we have the following univariate compoundings:

(1) $x|\lambda$ has the probability mass function

$$P(x|\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \quad x=0,1,2,\dots$$

(2) $\lambda|y$ has the probability density function

$$g_1(\lambda|y, h) = \frac{(h/y)^h}{\Gamma(h)} \lambda^{h-1} e^{-(h/y)\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0$$

(3) $y^{-1}|\mu$ has the probability density function

$$g_2(y^{-1}|\mu^{-1}, k) = \frac{(k/\mu^{-1})^k}{\Gamma(k)} (y^{-1})^{k-1} e^{-(k/\mu^{-1})y^{-1}}, \quad y^{-1} > 0$$

There are three problems to be solved:

- (1) What is the probability density function of $\lambda|\mu$?
- (2) What is the probability mass function of $x|y$?
- (3) What is the probability mass function of $x|\mu$?

In order to find more general results, I consider the following cases with parameters λ, μ, x, y :

	x	λ	y	μ	
Case 1	U	U	U	U	
Case 2	M	U	U	U	U: Univariate
Case 3	M	M	U	U	M: Multivariate
Case 4	M	M	M	M	

When referring to the joint distribution of n random variables, I use the term “joint generalized probability density function” (abbreviated by joint g.p.d.f.) to describe a function f that may be their joint probability mass function (p.m.f.), their joint probability density function (p.d.f.) or their joint mixed functions (p.m.f.-p.d.f.).

The results for case 4 are trivial from Case 1 for independence over $i=1,2,\dots,n$. The proof for each theorem is presented in Appendix A. Some properties of Confluent Hypergeometric Function are given in Appendix B.

2. Theoretical Results

The results for Case 1 are given in Theorems 1,2 and 3.

Theorem 1: The probability density function of $\lambda \mid \mu$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\lambda \mid \mu, h, k) &= \int_0^\infty g_1(\lambda \mid h, y) g_2(y^{-1} \mid \mu^{-1}, k) dy^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(h+k)(2h)^h (2k)^k (\lambda/\mu)^{h-1} \mu^{-1}}{\Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)[(2\lambda h/\mu) + 2k]^{h+k}}, \quad \lambda > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note: If we let $\lambda' = (\lambda/\mu)$, then λ' has an F distribution with $2h$ and $2k$ degrees of freedom. Therefore, λ has $\mu F_{2h, 2k}$.

Theorem 2: The probability mass function of $x \mid y$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x \mid y, h) &= \int_0^\infty p(x \mid \lambda) g_1(\lambda \mid y, h) d\lambda \\ &= \binom{x+h-1}{x} \left(\frac{y}{y+h} \right)^x \left(\frac{h}{y+h} \right)^h, \quad x=0,1,2,\dots \end{aligned}$$

Note: This is a negative binomial distribution with parameters h and $\frac{y}{y+h}$.

Theorem 3: The probability mass function of $x \mid \mu$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(x \mid \mu, h, k) &= \int_0^\infty p(x \mid \lambda) f_1(\lambda \mid \mu, h, k) d\lambda \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right) \binom{x+h-1}{x} \frac{\Gamma(h+k)}{\Gamma(k)} \left(\frac{k}{h} \right)^x U\left(x+h; x-k+1; \frac{k}{h}\right), \\ & \quad x=0,1,2, \quad x+h > 0, \quad \frac{k}{h} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note: $U\left(x+h; x-k+1; \frac{k}{h}\right)$ is a Confluent Hypergeometric Function (see Appendix B.3).

The results for Case 2 are given in Theorem 4 and 5.

Theorem 4: Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, then the joint g.p.d.f. of $\underline{x}|y$ is

$$f_4(\underline{x}|y, h) = \int_0^\infty \left[\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\lambda) \right] g_1(\lambda|h, y) d\lambda$$

$$= \binom{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1}{\underline{x}, h - 1} \left(\frac{y}{h + ny} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \left(\frac{h}{h + ny} \right)^h,$$

$$x_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Note: This is a multivariate negative binomial distribution with parameters $(h, \frac{y}{h + ny})$.

Theorem 5: Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, then the joint g.p.d.f. of $\underline{x}|\mu$ is

$$f_5(\underline{x}|\mu, h, k) = \int_0^\infty \left[\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\lambda) \right] f_1(\lambda|\mu, h, k) d\lambda$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\mu} \right) \binom{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1}{\underline{x}, h - 1} \frac{\Gamma(h + k)}{\Gamma(k)} \left(\frac{k}{h} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} U \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h; \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - k + 1; \frac{nk}{h} \right),$$

$$x_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

The results for Case 3 are given in Theorems 6, 7 and 8.

Theorem 6: Let $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, $\underline{h} = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n)$ then the joint g.p.d.f. of $\underline{\lambda}|\mu$ is

$$f_6(\underline{\lambda}|\underline{h}, \mu, k) = \int_0^\infty \left[\prod_{i=1}^n g_1(\lambda_i|y, h_i) \right] g_2(y^{-1}|\mu^{-1}, k) dy^{-1}$$

$$= \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(\lambda_i h_i)^{h_i}}{\lambda_i \Gamma(h_i)} \right] \left[\frac{(\mu k)^k}{\Gamma(k)} \right] \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i + k)}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k \right]^{\sum_{i=1}^n h_i + k}} \right\}, \quad \lambda_i > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Corollary 6.1: If $h_i = h$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$f_6'(\underline{\lambda}|h, \mu, k) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right)^{h-1} \left[\frac{h^h}{\Gamma(h)} \right]^n \left[\frac{(\mu k)^k}{\Gamma(k)} \right] \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(nh+k)}{\left[h \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right) + \mu k \right]^{nh+k}} \right\},$$

$\lambda_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Theorem 7: Suppose $\underline{\lambda}$ has the joint g.p.d.f. as given in Theorem 6. Define $\underline{\Phi} = \frac{\underline{\omega}}{(\mathbf{v}/2k)}$

Where $\underline{\omega} = \left(\frac{u_1}{2h_1}, \frac{u_2}{2h_2}, \dots, \frac{u_n}{2h_n} \right)$. We obtain $\underline{\Phi}$ has $F_{2h_1, 2k}$ distribution and the joint

g.p.d.f. is

$$\left[\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{h_i}{k} \right)^{h_i} \right] B(k, \underline{h})^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i^{h_i-1} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \right)^{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i + k \right)}$$

where $\phi_i = \frac{\lambda_i h_i}{k} > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $B(k, \underline{h})^{-1} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i + k\right)}{\Gamma(k) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(h_i)}$.

Furthermore, we have $\underline{\lambda} = \mu \underline{\Phi}$.

Corollary 7.1: If $h_i = h$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ then $\underline{\lambda} = \mu F_{2h_1, 2k}$ where $\underline{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$

Theorem 8: Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$, $\underline{h} = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n)$, then the joint g.p.d.f. of $\underline{x}|\mu$ is

$$f_7(\underline{x}|\underline{h}, \mu, k) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \left[\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\lambda_i) \right] f_6(\underline{\lambda}|\underline{h}, \mu, k) d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_n$$

$$= \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \binom{h_i + x_i - 1}{x_i} \right] \left[\frac{(\mu k)^k}{\Gamma(k)} \right] \frac{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i + k\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (h_i^{x_i})}$$

$$\cdot \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n U(x_i + h_i; x^{(i)} - h^{(i)} - (k-1); \frac{\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^n h_j \lambda_j \right) + \mu k}{h_i}) \right\}$$

where $x_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$x^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^i x_j, \quad h^{(i)} = \sum_{j=i+1}^n h_j, \quad h^{(n)} = 0$$

Note: Because of $x^{(i)}$ and $h^{(i)}$ we have dependence.

Appendix A

(A.1) Proof of Theorem 1:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\lambda | \mu, h, k) &= \int_0^\infty g_1(\lambda | h, y) g_2(y^{-1} | \mu^{-1}, k) dy^{-1} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(h/y)^h}{\Gamma(h)} \lambda^{h-1} e^{-\frac{h}{y}\lambda} \frac{(k/\mu^{-1})^k}{\Gamma(k)} (y^{-1})^{k-1} e^{-(\mu k)/y} dy^{-1} \\ &= \frac{(\mu k)^k h^h \lambda^{h-1}}{\Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)} \int_0^\infty (y^{-1})^{h+k-1} e^{-(\lambda h + \mu k)/y} dy^{-1} \\ &= \frac{(\mu k)^k h^h \lambda^{h-1}}{\Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)} \frac{\Gamma(h+k)}{(\lambda h + \mu k)^{h+k}} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(h+k)(2h)^h (2k)^k}{\Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)} \mu^k \frac{\lambda^{h-1}}{(2\lambda h + 2\mu k)^{h+k}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{\Gamma(h+k)(2h)^h (2k)^k}{\Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)} \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^{h-1}}{(2h\frac{\lambda}{\mu} + 2k)^{h+k}}, \quad \lambda > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda' = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$, we know

$$\frac{\Gamma(h+k)(2h)^h (2k)^k}{\Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)} \frac{(\lambda')^{h-1}}{(2h\lambda' + 2k)^{h+k}}$$

is an F distribution with $2h$ and $2k$ degrees of freedom.

(A.2) Proof of Theorem 2:

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x | y, h) &= \int_0^\infty p(x | \lambda) g_1(\lambda | y, h) d\lambda = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \frac{(h/y)^h}{\Gamma(h)} \lambda^{h-1} e^{-(h/y)\lambda} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{(h)^h}{y^h} \frac{1}{\Gamma(h)} \frac{1}{x!} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{x+h-1} e^{-(1+\frac{h}{y})\lambda} d\lambda = \frac{h^h}{y^h \Gamma(h) x!} \frac{\Gamma(x+h)}{(1+\frac{h}{y})^{x+h}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(x+h) h^h}{\Gamma(h)x!} \frac{y^{x+h}}{y^h (y+h)^{x+h}} = \binom{x+h-1}{x} \left(\frac{y}{y+h} \right)^x \left(\frac{h}{y+h} \right)^h, \quad x=0,1,2,\dots$$

(A.3) Proof of Theorem 3:

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(x|\mu, h, k) &= \int_0^\infty p(x|\lambda) f_1(\lambda|\mu, h, k) d\lambda = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(h+k)(2h)^h (2k)^k \lambda^{h-1}}{\Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)(2h\lambda+2k)^{h+k}} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(h+k)(h)^h (k)^k}{\mu \cdot \Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)x!} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x+h-1}}{(h\lambda+k)^{h+k}} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(h+k)(h)^h (k)^k}{\mu \Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)x!(h)^{h+k}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x+h-1} \left(\lambda + \frac{k}{h} \right)^{-(h+k)} d\lambda \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3.1})$$

Since $U(a; b; x) = \frac{x^{1-b}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty e^{-v} v^{a-1} (x+v)^{b-a-1} dv$, $a > 0$ and $x > 0$ (See Appendix B.3), comparing it with the integration part in (A.3.1), we know that $a = x+h$,

$b = x-k+1$, $x = \frac{k}{h}$. Therefore,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x+h-1} \left(\lambda + \frac{k}{h} \right)^{-(h+k)} d\lambda = \frac{\Gamma(x+h)}{\left(\frac{k}{h} \right)^{k-x}} \cdot U\left(x+h; x-k+1; \frac{k}{h}\right) \quad (\text{A.3.2})$$

Substituting (A.3.2) into (A.3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(x|\mu, h, k) &= \frac{\Gamma(h+k)\Gamma(x+h)}{\mu \Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)x!} \left(\frac{k}{h} \right)^x U\left(x+h; x-k+1; \frac{k}{h}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu} \binom{x+h-1}{x} \frac{\Gamma(h+k)}{\Gamma(k)} \left(\frac{k}{h} \right)^x U\left(x+h; x-k+1; \frac{k}{h}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Where $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, x+h > 0$ and $\frac{k}{h} > 0$.

(A.4) Proof of Theorem 4:

$$\begin{aligned} f_4(x|y, h) &= \int_0^\infty \left[\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\lambda) \right] g_1(\lambda|y, h) d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!)} \frac{(h/y)^h}{\Gamma(h)} \lambda^{h-1} e^{-(h/y)\lambda} d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(h/y)^h}{\Gamma(h) \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!)} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1\right)} e^{-\left(n + \frac{h}{y}\right)\lambda} d\lambda = \frac{(h/y)^h \Gamma\left(h + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)}{\Gamma(h) \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!) \left(n + \frac{h}{y}\right)^{h + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} \\
&= \binom{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1}{\underline{x}, h - 1} \left(\frac{y}{h + ny}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \left(\frac{h}{h + ny}\right)^h
\end{aligned}$$

Where $x_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\binom{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1}{\underline{x}, h - 1} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h\right)}{\Gamma(h) \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!)}$

(A.5) Proof of Theorem 5:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_5(\underline{x} | \mu, h, k) &= \int_0^\infty \left[\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i | \lambda) \right] f_1(\lambda | \mu, h, k) d\lambda \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!)} \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(h+k)(2h)^h (2k)^k}{\Gamma(h)\Gamma(k)} \frac{\lambda^{h-1}}{(2h\lambda + 2k)^{h+k}} d\lambda \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(h+k)(2h)^h (2k)^k}{\mu \Gamma(h)\Gamma(k) \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!)} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1\right)} e^{-n\lambda}}{(2h\lambda + 2k)^{h+k}} d\lambda \tag{A.5.1}
\end{aligned}$$

In the integration part, let $n\lambda = t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1\right)} e^{-n\lambda}}{(2h\lambda + 2k)^{h+k}} d\lambda &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1\right)} e^{-t}}{\left(2h\frac{t}{n} + 2k\right)^{h+k}} \frac{1}{n} dt \\
&= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1\right)} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{2^{h+k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{h+k}} \int_0^\infty t^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1\right)} e^{-t} (ht + nk)^{-(h+k)} dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\binom{1}{n}^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - k}}{(2h)^{h+k}} \int_0^\infty t^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1} e^{-t} \left(t + \frac{nk}{h}\right)^{-(h+k)} dt \quad (\text{A.5.2})$$

Comparing the integration part in (A.5.2) with $U(a; b; x)$ given in (B.3.2), we know

$a = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h$, $b = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - k + 1$, $x = \frac{nk}{h}$. Therefore, (A.5.2) is equal to

$$\frac{\binom{1}{n}^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - k}}{(2h)^{h+k}} \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h)}{\binom{nk}{h}^{k - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} \cdot U\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h; \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - k + 1; \frac{nk}{h}\right) \quad (\text{A.5.3})$$

Substituting (A.5.3) into (A.5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_5(x, \mu, h, k) &= \binom{1}{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(h+k)}{\Gamma(h)\Gamma(k) \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!)} \binom{k}{h}^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h\right) \\ &\quad \cdot U\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h; \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - k + 1; \frac{nk}{h}\right) \\ &= \binom{1}{\mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h - 1 \right) \frac{\Gamma(h+k)}{\Gamma(k)} \binom{k}{h}^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot U\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + h; \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - k + 1; \frac{nk}{h}\right) \\ &\quad \left. \vphantom{\binom{1}{\mu}} \right|_{\underline{x}, h-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

(A.6) Proof of Theorem 6:

$$\begin{aligned} f_6(\lambda | h, \mu, k) &= \int_0^\infty \left[\prod_{i=1}^n g_1(\lambda_i | y, h_i) \right] g_2(y^{-1} | \mu^{-1}, k) dy^{-1} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{(h_i / y)^{h_i}}{\Gamma(h_i)} \lambda_i^{h_i - 1} e^{-\lambda_i (h_i / y)} \right] \right\} \frac{(k / \mu^{-1})^k}{\Gamma(k)} (y^{-1})^{k-1} e^{-(k\mu / y)} dy^{-1} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (h_i)^{h_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(h_i)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{h_i - 1} \right) (y^{-1})^{\sum_{i=1}^n h_i} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n h_i \lambda_i y^{-1}} \cdot \frac{(\mu k)^k}{\Gamma(k)} (y^{-1})^{k-1} e^{-(\mu k / y)} dy^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (h_i)^{h_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(h_i)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{h_i-1} \right) \frac{(\mu k)^k}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^\infty (y^{-1})^{\sum_{i=1}^n h_i+k-1} e^{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k\right) y^{-1}} dy^{-1} \\
&= \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(\lambda_i h_i)^{h_i}}{\lambda_i \Gamma(h_i)} \right] \left[\frac{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i + k\right)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i h_i + \mu k\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n h_i+k}} \right] \frac{(\mu k)^k}{\Gamma(k)}, \text{ where } \lambda_i > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.
\end{aligned}$$

(A.7) Proof of Theorem 7:

Since $\lambda_i | y$ has the p.d.f. $g(\lambda_i | y, h_i) = \frac{(h_i / y)^{h_i}}{\Gamma(h_i)} \lambda_i^{h_i-1} e^{-(h_i / y) \lambda_i}$, $\lambda_i > 0$.

We know that $E(\underline{\lambda} | y) = y \cdot \underline{1}$ where $\underline{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Let χ_n^2 denote the Chi-square Distribution with n degrees of freedom, we know that $E(\chi_n^2) = n = 2h_i$.

Therefore, $\frac{\underline{\lambda}}{y} | y$ has the following coordinates

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{y} | y \sim \frac{\chi_{2h_i}^2}{2h_i} (= \frac{u_i}{2h_i}) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since $y^{-1} | \mu$ has the p.d.f. $g_2(y^{-1} | \mu^{-1}, k)$, we know that $E(y^{-1}) = \mu^{-1}$. Therefore,

$\frac{\mu}{y} \sim \frac{\chi_{2k}^2}{2k} (= \frac{v}{2k})$. Hence, $\frac{\underline{\lambda} / y}{\mu / y}$ has the following coordinates

$$\frac{\lambda_i / y}{\mu / y} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu} = \frac{u_i / 2h_i}{v / 2k} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Define $\underline{\Phi} = \frac{\underline{\omega}}{(v/2k)}$ where $\underline{\omega} = \left(\frac{u_1}{2h_1}, \frac{u_2}{2h_2}, \dots, \frac{u_n}{2h_n}\right)$, then from the g.p.d.f. of $\underline{\lambda}$ given in

Theorem 6, we know $\underline{\Phi} \sim F_{2h, 2k}$ and $\underline{\Phi}$ has the g.p.d.f.

$$\left[\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{h_i}{k}\right)^{h_i} \right] B(k, \underline{h})^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \phi_i^{h_i-1} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \right)^{-\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i+k\right)}, \text{ where } \phi_i = \frac{\lambda_i h_i}{k} > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and}$$

$$B(k, \underline{h})^{-1} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i + k\right)}{\Gamma(k) \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(h_i)}.$$

Furthermore, we obtain $\underline{\lambda} = \mu \cdot \underline{\Phi}$.

(A.8) Proof of Theorem 8:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_7(x|\underline{h}, \mu, k) &= \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \left[\prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\lambda_i) \right] f_6(\underline{\lambda}|\underline{h}, \mu, k) d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_n \\
&= \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n h_i^{h_i} \right) (\mu k)^k \Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + k\right)}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(h_i) \right] \Gamma(k) \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i!) \right]} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{\left[\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i)^{x_i+h_i-1} \right] e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i h_i + \mu k \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n h_i+k}} d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_n \quad (\text{A.8.1})
\end{aligned}$$

In the multiple integration of (A.8.1), if we integrate with respect to λ_1 first, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{\lambda_1^{x_1+h_1-1} \prod_{i=2}^n (\lambda_i)^{x_i+h_i-1} e^{-\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i}}{\left(h_1 \lambda_1 + \mu k + \sum_{i=2}^n h_i \lambda_i \right)^{\left(h_1+k+\sum_{i=2}^n h_i \right)}} d\lambda_1 \right] d\lambda_2 \cdots d\lambda_n \\
&= \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{\prod_{i=2}^n (\lambda_i)^{x_i+h_i-1} e^{-\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i}}{\left(h_1 \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n h_i+k}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda_1^{x_1+h_1-1} e^{-\lambda_1}}{\left[\lambda_1 + \left(\frac{\mu k + \sum_{i=2}^n h_i \lambda_i}{h_1} \right) \right]^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i+k \right)}} d\lambda_1 \right\} d\lambda_2 \cdots d\lambda_n \quad (\text{A.8.2})
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the integration inside the braces of (A.8.2) with $U(a; b; x)$ given in (B.3.2), we know

$$a = x_1 + h_1, \quad b = x_1 - \left(\sum_{i=2}^n h_i + k \right) + 1, \quad x = \left(\sum_{i=2}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k \right) / h_1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda_1^{x_1+h_1-1} e^{-\lambda_1}}{\left[\lambda_1 + \left(\frac{\mu k + \sum_{i=2}^n h_i \lambda_i}{h_1} \right) \right]^{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i+k \right)}} d\lambda_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\Gamma(x_1 + h_1)}{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k}{h_1} \right)^{\sum_{i=2}^n h_i+k-x_1}} \cdot U\left(x_1 + h_1; x_1 - \left(\sum_{i=2}^n h_i + k \right) + 1; \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k}{h_1}\right) \quad (\text{A.8.3})
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (A.8.3) into (A.8.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(x_1 + h_1)}{(h_1)^{x_1 + h_1}} \cdot U(x_1 + h_1; x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n h_i - (k-1); \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k}{h_1}) \\ & \cdot \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=2}^n (\lambda_i)^{x_i + h_i - 1} e^{-\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i} \left(\sum_{i=2}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k \right)^{x_1 - (\sum_{i=2}^n h_i + k)} d\lambda_2 \cdots d\lambda_n \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8.4})$$

In the multiple integration of (A.8.4), we now integrate with respect to λ_2 ,

$$\int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=3}^n (\lambda_i)^{x_i + h_i - 1} e^{-\sum_{i=3}^n \lambda_i} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_2} \lambda_2^{x_2 + h_2 - 1} \left(\sum_{i=2}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k \right)^{x_1 - (\sum_{i=2}^n h_i + k)} d\lambda_2 \right] d\lambda_3 \cdots d\lambda_n \quad (\text{A.8.5})$$

Comparing the integration inside the bracket of (A.8.5) with $U(a; b; x)$, we know

$$a = x_2 + h_2, \quad b = (x_1 + x_2) - \sum_{i=3}^n h_i - (k-1), \quad x = \frac{\sum_{i=3}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k}{h_2}.$$

Hence, the integration with respect to λ_2 is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(x_2 + h_2) (h_2)^{x_1 - (\sum_{i=2}^n h_i + k)}}{\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k}{h_2} \right)^{\sum_{i=3}^n h_i + k - (x_1 + x_2)}} \cdot U(x_2 + h_2; (x_1 + x_2) - \sum_{i=3}^n h_i - (k-1); \frac{\sum_{i=3}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k}{h_2}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8.6})$$

Substituting (A.8.6) into (A.8.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(x_2 + h_2)}{h_2^{x_2 + h_2}} U(x_2 + h_2; (x_1 + x_2) - \sum_{i=3}^n h_i - (k-1); \frac{\sum_{i=3}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k}{h_2}) \\ & \cdot \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=3}^n (\lambda_i)^{x_i + h_i - 1} e^{-\sum_{i=3}^n \lambda_i} \left(\sum_{i=3}^n h_i \lambda_i + \mu k \right)^{(x_1 + x_2) - (\sum_{i=3}^n h_i + k)} d\lambda_3 \cdots d\lambda_n \end{aligned}$$

By doing the integration step by step, we finally obtain $f_7(\underline{x}|\underline{h}, \mu, k)$ given in Theorem 8.

Appendix B

(B.1) Generalized Hypergeometric Functions:

The generalized hypergeometric differential equation is

$$\left\{ x \frac{d}{dx} (x \frac{d}{dx} + b_1 - 1) (x \frac{d}{dx} + b_2 - 1) \cdots (x \frac{d}{dx} + b_B - 1) - x (x \frac{d}{dx} + a_1) (x \frac{d}{dx} + a_2) \cdots (x \frac{d}{dx} + a_A) \right\} y = 0 \quad (\text{B.1.1})$$

This is a linear equation of order $\max(A, B+1)$, and its solution is in terms of the generalized hypergeometric function:

$${}_A F_B [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_A; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_B; x] = {}_A F_B [(a); (b); x] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n \cdots (a_A)_n x^n}{(b_1)_n (b_2)_n \cdots (b_B)_n n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{((a)_n) x^n}{((b)_n) n!} \quad (\text{B.1.2})$$

Where $(a)_n = a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n-1)$ and $(a)_0 = 1$, for all a_r, b_r and x can be real or complex number provided that no b is a negative integer (Bailey, 1935, Chapter 2). Here x is called the “variable” and a_r, b_r are called the “parameters” of the function. In particular, if $A=B=1$, then the equation (B.1.1) reduces to

$$x \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + (b-x) \frac{dy}{dx} - ay = 0 \quad (\text{B.1.3})$$

This equation is the Confluent Hypergeometric Equation known as Kummer’s equation, and any solution of equation (B.1.3) is a Confluent Hypergeometric Function. The simplest solution is Kummer’s function,

$${}_1 F_1 [a; b; x] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n x^n}{(b)_n n!} \quad (\text{B.1.4})$$

This is the standard hypergeometric notation introduced by Pochhammer in 1870 and modified by Barnes (1908, a,b).

(B.2) The Second Form of Solutions of Kummer’s Equation:

Define an alternative form of solution of Kummer’s equation as

$$U(a; b; x) = \frac{\Gamma(1-b)}{\Gamma(1+a-b)} {}_1 F_1 [a; b; x] + \frac{\Gamma(b-1)}{\Gamma(a)} x^{1-b} {}_1 F_1 [1+a-b; 2-b; x] \quad (\text{B.2.1})$$

The function $U(a; b; x)$ is a many-valued function of x . This function is analytic for all values of a, b and x real or complex number even when b is zero or a negative integer.

(B.3) Euler Type Integrals for $U(a; b; x)$:

The corresponding Euler integral for $U(a; b; x)$ is given by

$$U(a; b; x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} t^{a-1} (1+t)^{b-a-1} dt \quad \text{for } a > 0, x > 0 \quad (\text{B.3.1})$$

This integral can also be transformed in various ways, for example, if $tx = v$, then

$$U(a; b; x) = \frac{x^{1-b}}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-v} v^{a-1} (x+v)^{b-a-1} dv \quad (\text{B.3.2})$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Bailey, W. N. (1935), "Generalized Hypergeometric Series", *Cambridge Mathematical Tract*, no. 32. Cambridge.
- [2] Barnes, E. W. (1908, a), "On Functions Defined by Simple Hypergeometric Series," *Trans. Cam. Phil. Soc.* 20, 253-279.
- [3] Barnes, E. W. (1908, b), "A New Development of the Theory of Hypergeometric Functions", *Proc. Lond. Math. Sec.* 6, 141-177.
- [4] Kummer, E. E. (1836), "Über die Hypergeometrische Reihe $F(a;b;x)$ ", *J. Reine angew. Math.* 15, 39-83.
- [5] Pochhammer, L. (1890), "Über eine Klasse von Integralen mit Geschlossen Integralionskunen", *Math. Ann.* 37, 500-511.
- [6] Slater, L. J. (1960), "Confluent Hypergeometric Functions", *Cambridge at the University Press*.